UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,702	01/24/2008	Kompella Amala	13102.0016USWO	4663
23552 7590 05/31/2011 MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903		WARD, PAUL V		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/585,702	AMALA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PAUL V. WARD	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Jule</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practi</li></ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the off Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the off the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/11 7/11/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

**STATUS OF THE CLAIMS**: Claims 1-7 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. (a) Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim refers to a Table. Correction is required.
- (b) Claim 7 is rejected because the claim fails to be written in Jepson format. See MPEP 2129, CFR 1.75(e) § 608.01(m).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zimmermann et al. (WO 99/03854).

Claims 1-7are drawn to forms of imatinib mesylate compounds, compositions and process of preparing said compounds with designated amounts of various XRPD patterns. No other component is disclosed.

The Zimmerman et al. reference discloses imatinib mesylate compounds, compositions and process of preparing said compounds. See pages 2-13 and Examples. The Zimmerman et al. reference anticipates claims 1-7 of the instant application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmermann et al. (WO 99/03854).

Claims 1-7are drawn to forms of imatinib mesylate compounds, compositions and process of preparing said compounds with designated amounts of various XRPD patterns.

The Zimmerman et al. reference discloses imatinib mesylate compounds, compositions and process of preparing said compounds.

Zimmerman does not disclose imatinib mesylate compound characterized by different x-ray powder diffraction patterns having different PXRD diffractogram; however, one skilled in the art would find the differences in the teaching to be negligible.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Zimmermann to obtain the forms in the instant application. All of the moieties are taught in the art. Obviousness based on similarity of structure and functions entails motivation to make the claimed compound in expectation that the compounds similar in structure will have similar properties. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make the claimed compounds in searching for new forms to be used. See In re Payne, 203 USPX 245(CCPA 1979). Since

Application/Control Number: 10/585,702 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant's claims are prima facie obvious in view of the teachings of Zimmermann,

Applicant's claims are rejected under 35 USC § 103.

#### Conclusion

Claims 1-7 are pending. Claims 1-7 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL V WARD whose telephone number is 571-272-2909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/PAUL V WARD/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624